

**PEER EVALUATION FORM**  
**(EACH GROUP MEMBER MUST TURN ONE IN!!!)**

**GROUP NAME** \_\_\_\_\_

**EVALUATOR'S NAME** \_\_\_\_\_

I. In the space below, specifically describe your contribution to the research and actual completion of the task. Be sure to include all activities you participated in from arranging meetings, finding relevant materials, hours worked, ideas contributed, etc.

II. Using the table that appears on the back of this page, place a grade from 0 to 100 in the appropriate box which reflects the quality of work and effort made by each individual in your group. Each individual receives two grades; one for the research, and the other for the actual construction of the task. This peer evaluation will be used to determine your individual final grade on the assignment. This peer evaluation will be strictly confidential and will be seen by the teacher only. Be sure that you justify any grade that you give. Use the rubric below as a guide in determining your peers' grades.

Group Name _____			
Group Member Name	Research Grade	Construction Grade	Justifications

## PEER EVALUATION RUBRIC

<i>GRADE RANGE</i>	<i>EXPLANATION</i>
<b>90-100</b>	Valuable contribution, attended all meetings, provided essential materials and ideas- major contributor to the organization, content, and completion of the task. Group would have had tremendous difficulty without this person's assistance.
<b>80-89</b>	Adequate contribution, attended most meetings, provided valuable materials and ideas- contributed to the organization and content, but not as much and to the degree that others in the group did.
<b>70-79</b>	Limited contribution, sporadic and limited attendance at meetings, very few valuable materials and ideas presented to the organization, content, and completion of the task. May have worked only on one aspect of the task and contributed very little value to the other aspects. Did only what they were told and nothing more, demonstrated little initiative to produce a quality product.
<b>0-69</b>	Insufficient, meaningless contribution, poor attendance, if at all, poor, unrelated, overly simplistic materials and ideas presented. Apathetic and uncaring work ethic demonstrated. Group had to increase their burden because of the poor effort demonstrated. In some cases, could have completed the tasks without the person.